Multiplier Event – Munich – 7th March 2019



Intellectual Output 2 (IO2)

Overview and Results







Abbreviations used

Word	Abbreviation
Audio-description	AD
Easy to read	E2R
Easy to understand	E2U
Intellectual output	IO
Plain language	PL
Subtitles	ST
уреан отпон	SA MC ND

IO2

Overview

- What
- Why
- How
- Results







What



We want to create recommendations to produce audio-visual information that it is easy to understand.







Type

Type of audio-visual information:

- Easy-to-understand news
- Easy-to-understand subtitles
- Easy-to-understand audio-descriptions







Why



- Lack of audio-visual information that is easy to understand
- Lack of trained experts
- Lack of guidelines to support implementation







How

Qualitative









Who

- Easy to read (E2R)
- Plain language (PL)

Experts



- News
- Subtitles
- Audio-descriptions





When

1. Discussion group







When

2. Interviews







IO2

Results









EASIT Results of the discussion groups







Discussion groups

Overview

- Definition and goal
- Participants
- Questions
- Results





Definition

Discussion groups

gather people with similar interest in a topic

- to solve problems
- to bring up ideas, or
- to give comments.



Goal

Discussion groups

- to explore the idea of creating easy-to-understand content
- to discover implementation pathways
- to identify unanswered questions to be discussed during the interviews.



Discussion groups

Discussion groups

- 2 discussion groups: news
- 1 discussion group: subtitling
- 1 discussion group: audio-description
- 3 partners: RTV SLO, UAB, SDI Munich
- 3 venues: Slovenia, Spain, Germany
- 1 protocol
- 6 questions



Participants (1 of 2)

News 1

- 1 expert in Easy to read (E2R)
- 1 user of E2R
- 2 multimedia journalists

Venue: Slovenia

News 2

- 1 expert in E2R
- 1 user of E2R
- 1 radio journalist
- 1 TV journalist

Venue: Slovenia



Participants (2 of 2)

Audio-description

- 2 experts in E2R
- 2 experts in audio-description

Venue: Spain

Subtitles

- 3 experts in E2R
- 2 experts in pre-recorded subtitles
- 1 expert in live subtitles



Venue: Germany

Questions 1 and 2

Question 1

Can [news/subtitles/audio-descriptions]
be made easier to understand?

Question 2

Which would be the most relevant and necessary **genres**?





Questions 3 and 4

Question 3

How would you approach implementation?

Question 4

What **skills** should the new expert have?





Questions 5 and 6

Question 5

The **quality** of easy-to-understand content needs to be assessed.

How would you approach this task?

Question 6

How would you **name** this expert?

Is there a need to name them?



Conclusions: question 1

Question 1

Can [news/subtitles/audio-descriptions]
be made easier to understand?





Question 1: results (1 of 4)

Field	Language	Open questions / constraints
News		2
Radio		2
TV		2
AD		
ST		2

Question 1: results (2 of 4)

Field	Open questions / constraints	
		 Journalistic text rules must still apply
Nous		All formats?
News		All topics?
		• Own section?
		All formats?
		 How does E2U radio sound?
Radio		• Speed?
	•	• Own channel?
		• Is this still radio?

Question 1: is this possible? (3 of 4)

Field	Open questions / constraints	
		 How would E2U TV look like?
TV	√ ?	 How do we differentiate
		between E2R and PL?
		Are they the same?New type of AD?
AD	~?	New type of AD?
		Other channel/track?

Question 1: is this possible? (3 of 4)

Field	Open questions / constraints	
ST	~ ?	 ST rules must still apply Different concept? New type of subtitle? Can this work if the original is not E2U? Adapt vs. create content Do we know the audience needs? Financing

Conclusions: feasibility (4 of 4)

- Language implementation is feasible.
- Modality guidelines, rules and style must still apply.
- Not all formats maybe suitable.
- Financing must be granted.
- More knowledge about the preferences of the audience
- Reception: gap between original audio/video and E2U content





Conclusions: question 2

Question 2

Which would be the most relevant and necessary **genres**?





Q 2. Suggested genres

Field	Type of programmes
News, radio TV	 News: international and local Informative services: traffic, weather, events, etc. Other: health, living, educational, youth, political debates Specific to the target group: rights, laws, health, social life, etc. Short radio news

Q 2. Suggested genres

Genre	Type of programmes
AD	 Soap operas Dance shows Movies for children Documentaries Museum guides
ST	 Educational programmes Training material Science programmes News: politics, law Opera and theatre

Q 2. Questioned genres:

Genre	Programmes	
News,	 Original columns 	
radio,	• Interviews	
TV		
AD	 If the plot is complicated 	
AD	 Films with a lot amount of information 	
	 Live programmes 	
ST	 Light entertainment 	
	Financing	

Conclusions Q2: genres

- Wide audience: it is necessary to know more about their interests.
- Not all audiences are interested in simplified versions.
- Not all genres and formats are suitable.
- Delay is a limiting factor
- Not all shows might need an adaptation.
- Financing must be granted.





Conclusions: question 3

Question 3

How would you approach implementation?





Conclusions Q3: implementation (1 of 2)

- Two different trainings of different length: PL and E2R
- Decision criteria: Plain language vs Easy to read
- Guidelines: common, short and allow flexibility for their implementation in each modality
- Reading speed must be taken into account
- Common quality EU standards, specially in E2R





Conclusions Q3: implementation (2 of 2)

- Creation might be easier than adaptation
- Implementation is not a by-product; it needs time
- Create own spaces: web, radio
- Securing that the access to the service is easy as well





Conclusions: question 4

Question 4

What **skills** should the new expert have?





Conclusions Q4: skills

E2U skills complementary to the basic skills (journalistic, subtitling or audio-description)

Linguistic skills

- language proficiency
- simplification skills
- ability to develop and acquire knowledge about a topic

Prosodic skills

- voicing
- pronunciation





Conclusions Q4: skills

Accessibility skills

- knowledge about the target audience
- explain their own role

Audiovisual design skills

- creation
- editing
- postproduction





Conclusions Q4: skills

- Interpersonal skills: ability to empathise with the needs of the audience
- Personal skills: objectivity





Conclusions: question 5

Question 5

The **quality** of easy-to-understand content needs to be assessed.

How would you approach this task?



Q5. Quality control

- 1. Validation **during** the creation process by a validation team of target users
- 2. Validation **after** the creation process through users feedback *a posteriori*
- 3. During the creation process by an expert on E2R/PL and *a posteriori* through users feedback

Conclusions: question 6

Question 6

How would you **name** this expert? Is there a need to name them?



Q6. Name of the expert

Suggestions

- All groups that there is no need for a new name.
- It is more an specialisation than a new profession.
- Suggested names:
 - accessibility experts
 - Specialist in accessibility
 - Audio-facilitator
 - Content adapter
 - Translator for accessible media
 - Easy-to-read translator
 - Plain language translator

Overall conclusions

- 1. Audiovisual content can be made easier to understand
- 2. Not all genres might be suitable and wanted
- 3. Implementation requires training and guidelines
- 4. E2U skills are complementary to the basis skills
- 5. Quality can be assessed before and after the creation
- 6. There is no actual need for a new name



Thank you very much







References: pictures





List of references

- Bullseye icon: awesome icons
- Check icon:

https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&biw=1283&bih=663&tbm=isch&sa=1&ei=Lf97XKbzHeyP1fAP4puy0AE&q

- Discussion group: <u>http://knowledge-sastha.blogspot.com/2012/09/purpose-of-group-discussion.html</u>
- Interview: https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/ulterior-motives/201303/schedule-interview-early-in-the-day
- Logo Inclusion Europe: <u>https://easy-to-read.eu/european-logo/</u>





List of references

Minus icon:

https://encrypted-tbn0.gstatic.com/images?q=tbn:ANd9GcTO-wqweNrivVxgxQjR4rZdfkoCWIrvxzFLo2CfG_eM7ROxqvK_3g

Qualitative research:
 https://theknowledgeexchangeblog.files.wordpress.com/2016/10/questions-and-magnifying-glass_fotolia_38274417_m.jpg

 Question mark: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Datei:Orange_question_mark.svg

Recommendation:

http://marthagiffen.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/bigstock-We-recommend-shiny-speech-bubb-42004267.jpg





Disclaimer

The project EASIT has received funding from the European Commission under the Erasmus + Strategic Partnerships for Higher Education programme, grant agreement **2018-1-ES01-KA203-05275**.

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.





http://pagines.uab.cat/easit



